

Project No. 1794  
State Center Community College District – Facilities Master Plan  
Reedley College Meeting #3 Minutes

March 14, 2018 3:00 – 4:30

Next Meeting: April 10, 2018, 1:00-3:00

## **AGENDA SUMMARY**

---

- 1. REVIEW INFORMATION RECEIVED AT THE LAST MEETING**
- 2. REVIEW THE CURRENT FIVE-YEAR PLAN**
- 3. REVIEW POTENTIAL UPDATES TO THE FACILITIES MASTER PLAN**
- 4. NEW DISCUSSION AFFECTING THE MASTER PLAN**
  - a. Additional Discussion regarding 'Guided Pathways'
  - b. What are the Additional Library needs
- 5. REVIEW THE CURRENT LOAD CAPACITY RATIOS FOR THE CAMPUS**
- 6. PRIORITIES FOR THE NEXT FIVE-YEAR PLAN**
- 7. REVIEW GENERAL FACILITY NEEDS TO BE DOCUMENTED IN THE FACILITY MASTER PLAN UPDATE**

## **GENERAL MEETING NOTES**

---

- A 'Universal Design' ideology should be prioritized for DSPS Students.
- It was discussed if it was possible to expand towards the river.
  - a. In the proposed Master Plan, the available western space near the river is limited. Expansion north would be a better solution.
- In the current Master plan a leading concept was to develop the South East Corner of the campus and make it 'Front Door' or new face of the Reedley Campus.
- It was discussed that if the Finger-Wing Buildings were to be removed, where is the demolished space reflected? Would they lose classrooms, offices, space, etc.?
  - a. It was explained that in the master plan those demolished spaces would be replaced in New Buildings and that the projects would be phased so no program or instructor is without a space until the new one is built.
- It was discussed that 'Guided Pathways' is a new concept and ideology for student success at the Reedley Campus and are not sure exactly how it should be implemented in the built environment. However, 'Guided Pathways' should be considered in the physical growth of the campus and they are open to discussion about specifics of implementation on campus.
  - a. More information, case studies, and other school examples would be sent later.
  - b. See 'Guided Pathways' bullet point for information gathered thus far.
- In conversations about the Load Capacity Ratios it was discussed that the Aero Lab be broken up into a smaller space and the rest could be used for storage. Additional evaluation would determine what this would do to increase the space utilization.

## ITEMS OF DEVELOPMENT

---

- **GENERAL STUDENT GATHERING SPACE**

- a. One of the Best examples on Campus of great Student Gathering Space is the Life Science Building Area.
- b. **Potential Facility Needs:**
  - i. Utilize Space in between buildings for student Gathering and/or study space. Ideally in shaded areas.
  - ii. Interior spaces should also be considered, with proper power and data connections to draw students to use them.
  - iii. Student Gathering Spaces could also house aspects of the 'Guided Pathways' concept.

- **FACULTY OFFICES**

- a. There is a shortage of Faculty and Adjunct Instructor Office space campus wide.
- b. The shortage of Office space is also represented in the Campus Load Capacity Ratio.
- c. **Potential Facility Needs:**
  - i. Individual Offices or shared office space for Faculty and Adjunct Instructors.

- **GRADUATION**

- a. Graduation is currently located in the 'Central Quad' which will be taken by parking in the Proposed Long-Term Master Plan.
- b. Graduation currently hosts up to 3,500 people and is projected to host up to 5,500 people into the next 5-10 years.
- c. **Potential Facility Needs:**
  - i. The concern is finding a new place to house graduation. The Football and Soccer Field seems like an ideal option, but a space should be identified in the next round of discussions.

- **STUDENT SERVICES**

- a. It was discussed that the Student Services needs to be placed together in a central location.
- b. **Potential Facility Needs:**
  - i. Student services are currently scattered throughout campus. If the student services were in one location it could help support the 'Guided Pathways' and in general be a helpful for student success.

- **TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING**

- a. There was a concern about the amount of parking and whether it would be enough in the Long-Term Master plan.
- b. There was discussion about new technologies of transportation and how that will decreasingly affect the need for additional parking stalls or a structure in the future.
- c. The Task Force did like that the parking lots are connected; if there could be a continuous 'campus drive' loop around the entire campus, it would be ideal.

- **ATHLETICS**

- a. A concern about accessibility to Athletic field was brought up. The Proposed Master Plan along with ADA evaluations would address those concerns.
  - b. **Potential Facility Needs:**
    - i. It was suggested that the tennis courts be resurfaced.
    - ii. It was suggested that an addition of an Auxiliary Wellness Gym in the Long-Range Master Plan to help support Physical Therapy, Physical Education, and General Fitness, would be ideal to the growth of the program.
- **SCIENCE PROGRAMS**
    - a. It was discussed to potentially have more Lab space for growth rather than matching the current need and space occupied. Specifically, for the Chemistry and Biology programs.

## ***TABLED DISCUSSION***

---

1. Physical implementation of the 'Guided Pathways' following additional information.
2. Prioritization or Ranking of the Items of Development.